

## **CHAPTER 5**

### **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

This last chapter lists the main findings resulted from the whole discussion. Some suggestions are also provided for those interested in conducting similar research on the realization of the Analysis of Interpersonal meaning in the First Presidential Debate between Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump in 2016 on the Topic of America's Direction.

#### **5.1. Conclusion**

Based on the analyses results of Interpersonal meaning in the First Presidential Debate between Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump in 2016 on the Topic of America's Direction can be concluded that: First, the interpersonal meanings realized in the First Presidential Debate between Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump in 2016 on the Topic of America's Direction are realized through the system of mood and residue. The mood consists of subject and finite. While the residue consists of predicator, complement and adjunct.

Second, there are declarative, interrogative and imperative mood found in the Debate. The most dominant Mood Types used by Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump are Declarative Mood, while the second place are Imperative Mood and Interrogative Mood. It indicates that the debater wants to state or declare something and the debater only provides information to the audiences through the debate.

Last, based on the analysis of Modality through the use of Finite Modal and Mood Adjunct, the results of analysis found only few modalities. High modality is the most dominant which is appear 42 times (53,85%), while Medium modality is appear 23 times (29,49%) and low Modality is founded 13 times (16,66%).

## **5.2.Suggestion**

There are some suggestions in this research, especially for the English Department students who learn Systemic Functional Linguistic. First, the researcher suggests that in the future the similar research on the interpersonal meaning will be conducted should be much easier than this research. Because there are some problems faced by the researcher. One of them was when the writer had to analyze the word by word and clause by clause in more than 80 pages so it takes much time to spend.

Second, the writer recommend to the further researchers who interested in conducting the same topic to get more challenge by analyzing conversation about interpersonal meaning and the rest strands of meanings (ideational and the textual meaning).

Finally, the write suggests to the readers to be more attracted in reading literature, we will learn so many things from other countries get valuable and important knowledge and become critical to get deeper understanding on the text.

