
The Implementation of Agro Value Co-Creation (AVCC) in Marketing Performance

Samsul Arifin¹ & Nurul Komariyatin²

Faculty of Economics and Business, Nahdlatul Ulama Islamic University, Jepara, Indonesia, e-mail: ¹samsul@unisnu.ac.id; ²nurul@unisnu.ac.id

Abstract

This study aims at investigating the implementation of Agro Value Co-Creation (AVCC) in marketing performance to find out the empirical evidence of market orientation. This is a field research with random sampling method. The samples were 171 exporting furniture SMEs in Jepara Regency of 307 companies based on the Department of Industrial chambers Jepara). Samples are selected by using *probability sampling techniques*. Data are collected through questionnaire in open and closed questions to avoid excessive simplification. The respondents were the leaders and managers of the SMEs furniture. The results showed that the managerial implication and policy are the basis for solving marketing performance problems in furniture SMEs that export with AVCC. The market orientation has an effect on AVCC by 0.914. Marketing performance has 0.210 influences and competitive advantage influences marketing performance of 0.767. This shows that market orientation, AVCC, network accessibility, competitive advantage have an impact and play a significant role in improving marketing performance.

Keywords: *AVCC-implementation, marketing performance*

Introduction

The business growth in the globalization era is followed by competition, dynamically increasing business performance and marketing performance by companies. The competition in the furniture industry is intense with a high level of competition. This encourages each company to develop and maintain the company's position so that it can compete in the global market, and can seek better market opportunities in the future. The furniture companies in the marketing process must improve their marketing performance to achieve their goals. The development of the furniture industry in Indonesia in the past five years has experienced dynamic fluctuations from 2013 to 2017, but in 2018 it has decreased (Disperindag Jepara, 2019).

The market conditions also change in consumer behavior, so consumers tend to save in meeting their primary needs. The consumers prefer not to be consumptive, resulting in low demand. The furniture industry must be able to compete in the global market by following technological developments, marketing orientation, network access, and competitive advantages to improve marketing performance. The furniture industry has a competitive advantage in the global market, but the marketing performance in the furniture industry is still low and has not been able to take advantage of very large market opportunities. If this phenomenon still advances run, furniture companies in Jepara, in the long run, can collapse because of the intense competition. This phenomenon requires the persistence of entrepreneurs in running their business so it is necessary to have the accuracy of joint value creation (AVCC) on an ongoing basis, achieve good marketing performance. The market orientation, AVCC, network access, and competitive advantage will play a role in improving marketing performance.

AVCC is very important for customers to realize that business is mutually open in reaching mutual agreement, not merely the price sought but more rationality, namely the comfort as expected and quality products. With communication technology, social media networks, and competition, customers have a high awareness of meeting their needs. Marketing performance supports the process of managerial discipline and marketing effectiveness (Abdul, 2010). The improved marketing strategy among organizations increases the effect on organizational performance and corporate strategy. Although organizations wish to implement marketing strategies for the survival of their organizations, they are not yet supported by a sophisticated understanding of the relationship between marketing decisions and marketing performance. The marketing performance of SMEs is a measure of a successful company, but the development of marketing performance, customer value creation; external factors also affect the business (Suwandari, *et.al*, 2017).

Customer participation and relationship quality have a value that is felt by customers (Yeh, *et.al*, 2015). The market orientation and service innovation are positively related to customer advocacy (Ezek. *et.al.*, 2015), as a factor in improving business performance and market orientation has a positive and significant relationship to business performance (Wilson, *et. al.* 2014) and Juian (2010) and market orientation has a significant effect on marketing performance. Market orientation improves marketing performance and company probability (Chaudry, 2016). Market orientation contributes to business performance (Dubihlela, 2015) and customer orientation is committed to providing superior customer value (Schwepker and Igram. 2016), has an impact on logistics development (Clark, *et.al.* 2013), and has product value and satisfaction with products known to customers (Tournois, 2013). Market orientation in *statements* company consistently improves marketing performance and has a customer value effect (Tournois, 2013). The market orientation variables are not accepted for their relationship with company performance and do not have a significant effect on marketing performance (Matanda: 2009). However, other researchers also said that

overall market orientation variables are not accepted concerning company performance unless partially there is very little effect on company performance (Eshlania 2014). Astini and Tafiprios (2017) stated that market orientation does not affect global thinking and export performance. Internal market orientation plays a conducive role with customers (Fang*et.al*, 2014). The market orientation focuses on customer orientation, competitor orientation, social profit orientation, and coordination between functions (Gunarathne, 2016). Overall market orientation influences company performance (Kazakov, 2016). Learning orientation, market orientation, and capacity affect organizational performance (Kharabsheh, 2016). Product development, product differentiation, competitive advantage will lead to the sustainability of the company's success (Earsakul &Ussahawanitchakit, 2016).

The results of previous studies explained that market orientation and marketing performance were inconsistent. With this statement, there is still a research *gap*, so further research is needed on marketing performance. To solve the *research gap*, a process was carried out to explore a new concept to fill the gap, namely to bridge the influence of market orientation on marketing performance. From the results of the process based on an in-depth literature review, a new concept proposition is presented for the appropriateness of mutual value creation (AVCC). AVCC, which is the company's ability to deliver shared value creation to maintain customer satisfaction, to maintain customer relationships in improving marketing performance.

Literature review

1. Market Performance

Organizational performance in a long-term business environment must identify and build mutually beneficial relationships between the company and its customers. The marketing mix strategy between supply and demand plays a role in marketing performance (Payangan, *et.al.*, 2017). For management to be effective there must be accountability to every segment throughout the marketing process. Capability and measurement for the marketing performance process by segment are very important for marketing strategy (Dempster & Lee, 2015). In running the business, the businessman must place consumers as the priority in the organization, which means showing that the company wants to provide more value to customers in the hope of gaining a long-term competitive advantage, to provide superior benefits (Limakrisna &Yoserizal, 2016) Marketing performance is something that must be implemented in business processes which are part of organizational performance. Process The entire process of company strategy is always directed to produce marketing performance, namely sales volume, *market share*, sales growth, and marketing performance as an effort to measure performance levels including sales turnover, number of buyers, profits, and sales growth (Ferdinand, 2006).

According to Pribadi & Kanai (2011), marketing performance is an achievement that a company gets from its marketing activities. Marketing performance is more precisely measured through a customer perspective, namely customer satisfaction, customer profitability, and new customer acquisition (Abdul, 2010). The company's marketing performance is still relatively low, especially on the elements in increasing customer satisfaction that can increase customer loyalty. Currently, there is a model for the evaluation of the performance of marketing arts that is explicitly based on both the ideas and relational views of art available (Toepoel 2008). Marketing performance and company barometer for success, marketing performance development, all value creativity, and internal factor control affect the business (Suwandari, 2017). Value creation is the skill of creating value for marketers which includes identifying new customers from the customer's point of view; utilization of business competencies; and the selection and management of business partners from the collaborative network (Kotler and Keller, 2009: 49).

2. Market Orientation

Several studies underline market orientation as a firm's ability and prioritize superior organizational performance and profitability (Akimova, 2000; Clulow et al, 2007; McGuinness & Morgan, 2005). The notion of market orientation has been presented in the literature as the core of marketing strategy (Guo 2002; Sørensen, 2009; Voola and O'Cass, 2010) is seen as one of the most creative strategic choices in satisfying market needs more efficiently (Grinstein 2008, Nwokah, 2008). This efficiency is achieved by the process of gathering comprehensive market/marketing research information, which is then disseminated throughout the organization to facilitate the decision-making process that will affect the market and generate profits in the long term (Kohli and Jaworski, 1990; Narver & Slater, 1990). Researchers argue that there is a relationship between Porter's three competitive strategies and market orientation (Slater & Narver, 1996; Olson *et.al.*, 2005). Hari (1990) states that market orientation as a firm's capability mainly consists of two interrelated parts: customer orientation represented by differentiation or focused strategy, and competitor orientation represented by low-cost strategy. Besides, there has been a lack of clarity regarding the relative contribution of orientation and learning to organizational performance. Meanwhile, market orientation is seen as one of the main drivers of customer value (Cravens *et. al.*, 1997; Woodruff, 1997). Market-oriented organizations seek to provide superior customer value and satisfaction (Caruana *et. al.*, 2000; Narver and Slater, 1990). The existing literature shows mixed results on the relationship between market orientation and business performance. Market orientation alone does not always lead to business performance. Other factors such as customer value may mediate between market orientation and business performance. The main objectives of market-oriented organizations are value creation and retention of satisfied customers (Agarwal *et al.*, 2003; Hari, 1994; Hooley *et al.*, 1990). Market orientation affects firm performance (Chang, *et.al.*, 2014). Customer value orientation, brand value, and business ethics influence the organization

(Fernández and Pinuer, 2016). Market orientation and service innovation are related to customer advocacy (Ezek *et.al*,2015), as a factor in improving business performance and market, orientation has a relationship to business performance (Wilson, *et al.*, 2014) and Juian (2010) as well as improving company probability (Chaudry: 2016). Market orientation contributes to business performance (Dubihlela, 2015) and customer orientation is committed to providing superior customer value (Schwepker and Igram, 2016). Market orientation has an impact on logistics development (Clark, *et. Al.* 2013) and has product value and satisfaction with products that are known to customers (Tournois, 2013). Market orientation in *statements* company consistently has an effect on valuecustomers(Tournois, 2013) and internally plays a conditional role in relationships with customers (Fang et al., 2014), focuses on customer orientation, competitor orientation, social profit orientation, and coordination. between functions (Gunarathne, 2016).

3. AVCC

Customers have expectations of acceptance, service, and product innovation to meet consumer desires. Industrial companies must continue to develop quality, reduce costs, and increase work effectiveness, confirmed by Kholi (1999). Other studies related to important topics are Nasri Aldeen and Mansouri Zein, Murad (2010), and Sarhan (2005). Innovation shows that every company needs innovation to succeed and survive (Jimenez and Sanz-Valle, 2011).

2.4 Network Accessibility.

The definition of access is the ability to get benefits and something or the right to gain power (Ribot & Peluso, 2003), entering, using, and utilizing certain areas or zones (Schlager & Ostrom, 1993). Access means a road or entry permits from a place/area, whether visible or not where we can relate to the resources that exist in the area accordingly. with the permissions held Network management is aimed at reducing the chance of failure, mainly through planning and control. Daily monitoring of the network to ensure that the network remains at the desired level of operation Network control includes procedures such as failure detection, failure isolation, and network recovery.Failure is every mistake in dal am communicated data such as a malfunctioning communication connection or other conditions that prevent the data from arriving correctly.

Network marketing business or network marketing turns out to have many great advantages. Even the author of the famous book "Rich Dad Poor Dad", Robert T. Kiyosaki, shattered the prejudice of many people who thought that the marketing business is a pyramid system business that only benefits people who are at the top of the business.Based on Kiyosaki's experience and observations of successful business people, the character factor and emotional intelligence are the main factors for their success. Once they have the character as successful people, then business success will

come naturally. That's why Kiyosaki advises people to enter a network marketing business that provides a great education for developing emotional intelligence, as well as your business skills.

4. Competitive Advantage

The competitive advantage achieves excellence through superior customer value in creating competitive strategies to achieve business profit and growth. The concept of competitive advantage comes from Porter's generic strategy. The company's strategy is directed at winning the competition in the target market. A competition will win if it can create a business strategy through competitive advantage (Suryaningsih & Abdul, 2010).

Competitive advantage is very important for business development and continuity in the market (Awuah & Gebrekidan, 2008). . Customers are the core of the business (Alharthi, 2012). Therefore, a high response rate requires companies to identify and fulfill customer desires, and consequently, the perceived customer value will bring a competitive advantage to the company. Customer satisfaction can be achieved through high quality, innovative products, and products and services. This dimension produces loyalty (Hill & Jones, 2009). In a competitive environment, a natural revenue-maximizing strategy requires increasing market share either by cutting costs, increasing productivity, or designing and introducing other profit-related products (Gonzalez-Perez & Gutierrez-Viana, 2012).

Even though it is very difficult to identify conceptually strong provisions for a definition for competitive advantage in the literature, Sigalas et al. (2013) recently created a definition specifying that it incorporates all the latent characteristics of the competitive advantage concept and that it completely separates competitive advantage from its source and the concept of superior performance. In particular, Sigalas et al. (2013: 335) state that competitive advantage is "the industry average above materialized exploitation of market opportunities and neutralization of competitive threats. Furthermore, competitive advantage is not equivalent to superior performance, which according to Amit and Schoemaker (1993) is the average above-average financial and operational performance

A company is said to have a competitive advantage when the characteristics or attributes that its competitors do not have, it does something better than its competitors or can do something that cannot be done by other industriesmarket (Haryanto et al., 2021; Porter, 1998). The ability of infrastructure as a source of competitive advantage (Bhatt et al., 2010; Chang & Chuang, 2011; Jee-Hae et al., 2012). The changing paradigm of competitive advantage in different eras complements one another. another with its relation to organizational differentiation of resources as a source of competitive advantage. The competitive advantage is obtained by utilizing a unique blend of

activities, assets, attributes, market conditions, and relationships that differentiate the organization from its competitors (Ling, 2014; Haryanto & Khoiruddin, 2021).

Methods

The design of this study is descriptive qualitative research. The field research is applied to collect the data based on characteristics of the research object. The population in the study was furniture SMEs that exported in Jepara Regency, amounting to 307 companies (Disperindag Jepara, 2019). The sample amounted to 171 samples. The sample selection technique in this study was carried out by using *probability sampling techniques*. In this study a *simple random sampling* was applied by collecting the data through questionnaires by the respondents. The combination of open and closed questions is to avoid excessive simplification, so as not to lose the spirit of social interaction in management entities (Ferdinand 2013). The respondents were the managers of exporting SMEs furniture registered at Jepara Regency Disperindag. The primary data are data taken from the questionnaires results while the secondary data sources include statistical bulletins, and publications related to the export-import activities by the furniture SMEs.

Results

The empirical model of this study is to construct variables and influence between indicators of independent variables and dependent variables. They are the effect of market orientation (MO) on AVCC (AVCC), the effect of AVCC on network accessibility (NA), the effect of AVCC on competitive advantage (CA), the effect of network accessibility (NA) on marketing performance (MP) and the effect of competitive advantage on marketing performance (MP).

The output that explains the relationship between latent variables and their indicators is as follows:

Tabel 1. Outer Loadings

	AVCC	Comp.Advantage	Market Orientation	Marketing Performance	Network Accessibility
A1			0,977		
A2			0,990		
A3			0,980		
A4			0,972		
B1	0,981				
B2	0,961				

B3	0,967	
B4	0,904	
C1		0,943
C2		0,967
C3		0,936
C4		0,942
D1	0,965	
D2	0,990	
D3	0,965	
D4	0,968	
E1		0,973
E2		0,968
E3		0,971

A1 (customer orientation) has a relationship of 0.98 to the market orientation.

A2 (design orientation) has a relationship of 0.99 to the market orientation.

A3 (marketing capacity) has a relationship of 0.97 to market orientation.

A4 (coordination between functions) has a relationship of 0.97 to market orientation.

B1 (satisfy customer needs) has a relationship of 0.98 to AVCC.

B2 (knowledge sharing) has a relationship of 0.92 to AVCC.

B3 (continue service innovation) has a relationship of 0.97 to AVCC.

B4 (rapidly responding to the market) has a relationship of 0.90 to AVCC.

C1 (add new customer) has a relationship of 0.94 to network accessibility.

C2 (easy to communicate) has a relationship of 0.97 to network accessibility.

C3 (easy to enter the market) has a relationship of 0.94 to network accessibility.

C4 (data speed information) has a relationship of 0.96 to network accessibility.

D1 (product quality) has a relationship of 0.96 to competitive advantage.

D2 D1 (attractive price offering) has a relationship of 0.95 to competitive advantage.

D3 (product differentiation) has a relationship of 0.96 to competitive advantage.

D4 (responsiveness to customers) has a relationship of 0.97 to competitive advantage.

E1 (net profit) has a 0.97 correlation to performance marketing

E2 (add new customers) have a 0.97 correlation to performance marketing

E3 (price innovation) has a 0.97 correlation to the performance marketing

Based on the above interpretation states that market orientation affects AVCC in furniture SMEs and further AVCC affects network accessibility and competitive advantage, as well as the effect of network accessibility and competitive advantage on marketing performance.

Table 2. Latent Variable Correlations

	AVCC	Comp. Advantage	Market Orientation	Marketing Performance	Network Accessibility
AVCC	1,000				
Comp. Advantage	0,960	1,000			
Market Orientation	0,914	0,952	1,000		
Marketing Performance	0,944	0,974	0,943	1,000	
Network Accessibility	0,951	0,989	0,949	0,967	sebesar 1,000

Market orientation affects the AVCC of 0.91. In the results of this study, AVCC also affects network accessibility of 0.95 and competitive advantage of 0.96. Network accessibility affects marketing performance by 0.97 and competitive advantage affects marketing performance by 0.97. This means that each variable has a contribution to improving marketing performance.

Table 3. Path Coefficients

	AVCC	Comp. Advantage	Market Orientation	Marketing Performance	Network Accessibility
AVCC		0,960			0,951
Comp.Advantage				0,767	
Market Orientation	0,914				
Marketing Performance					
Network Accessibility				0,210	

Market orientation affects AVCC of 0.91, AVCC has an effect on network accessibility of 0.95, AVCC has an effect on the competitive advantage of 0.96, network accessibility has an effect on marketing performance equal to 0.21, and competitive advantage influences marketing performance 0.77. Judging from table 3, each variable has a significant effect.

Table 4. Construct Reliability and Validity

	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
AVCC	0,967	0,967	0,976	0,910
Comp.Advantage	0,981	0,981	0,986	0,945
Market Orientation	0,986	0,987	0,990	0,960
Marketing Performance	0,969	0,969	0,980	0,942
Network Accessibility	0,962	0,962	0,972	0,897

Discriminant validity is comparing the square root of average variance extracted (AVE) value of each construct which is greater than the correlation with all other constructs so it is said to have good discriminant validity. The recommended measurement value

should be greater than 0.050. Composite reliability is a group of indicators that measures a good composite reliability variable if it has composite reliability ≥ 0.7 absolute standards even though it is not an absolute standard. The results of the study resulted in good discriminant validity and composite reliability.

Table 5. R Square

	R Square	R Square Adjusted
AVCC	0,835	0,834
Comp.Advantage	0,921	0,921
Marketing Performance	0,949	0,948
Network Accessibility	0,905	0,904

The good fit model is measured using the R-Square dependent latent variable with the same interpretation as the predictive relevance Q-Square regression for the structural model, measuring how well the observed value is generated by the model and also its parameter estimation. The value of Q-Square > 0 indicates that the model has predictive relevance. Conversely, if the value of Q-Square ≤ 0 indicates that the model is less predictive of relevance. In this study, the model has predictive relevance.

Conclusions

This research finds empirical evidence that market orientation influences the marketing process of Jepara furniture SMEs which export. It is proven that from the results of this study market orientation influences AVCC. AVCC for customers is the desired expectation for customers. To improve the marketing performance of Jepara furniture SMEs, it must meet customer needs, one of which is paying attention to AVCC. Good AVCC will increase network accessibility and competitive advantage for sustainable business development. The findings of this study influence network accessibility and competitive advantage in marketing performance. The implication is for managerial and policy as the basis for solving marketing performance problems in furniture SMEs that export based on AVCC which can be accommodated by Jepara furniture SMEs that export as a solution to *marketing performance* that has not developed well. in Jepara furniture UKM. With the market orientation methodology, AVCC, network accessibility, competitive advantage is used to measure marketing performance. Based on the empirical study, the results of this research show that marketing orientation, AVCC, network accessibility, and competitive advantage influence marketing performance.

This study still has limitations, which only examine five variables, namely market orientation, AVCC, network accessibility, competitive advantage. The results of this empirical study will support the theory that market orientation, AVCC, network

accessibility, and competitive advantage have a role. important in improving marketing performance. This research makes a valuable contribution to the theory and practice of marketing performance in business. The theoretical contribution is the concept of AVCC which enriches literature review for researchers. From a practical point of view, Jepara furniture UKM can develop AVCC in improving marketing performance.

For future research, it is still necessary to examine the relationship between variables. AVCC with marketing performance variables aims to enrich the study. Also, researchers can add new variables, namely new customers to strengthen support for marketing performance.

References

1. Akbari H.,J Kangarlouei dan M. Motavassel (2014). Effectivefactors of Price Bubble in Tehran Stock Exchange. *Journal of Commerce & Accounting Research*,3(2).
2. Amjad,S. & Zulkipli,G.,Albinsson, (2016), An integrated model of corporate brand experience and customer-value co-creation behavior, *International Journal of Retail Astini dan T. Tafiprios*(2017). The Application of Three Orientation (Market, Technology and Entrepreneurship Orientation) and Global Mindset as Efforts to Increase the Growth and Export Performance: Evidence from Micro, Small, and Medium-Sized Industries of Teak Furniture in JAVA Island.*International Journal of Economic Perspectives*,11(1) 1731-1742.
3. Bain J., B.Greenland, M. Knodt& L. Nielsen(2016). A polyphonic marketplace: Images of EU external energy relations in British, French, and German media discourses, *15(1)115–13*.
4. Bampo,Mauro, Ewing, T. Michael,Mather, R.Dineli, Stewart, David, Wallace, &Mark(2008). The Effects of the Social Structure of Digital Networks on Viral Marketing Performance. *Information Systems Research*,19(3) 273
5. Banker R. D., R. Mashruwala dan A. Tripathy (2014), Does a differentiation strategy lead to more sustainable financial performance than a cost leadership strategy?. *Management Decision*,52(5) 872-896
6. Biégas. S. danP. J. S. Neto, 2015. Innovation in marketing strategy process: an integration and empirical examination. *REBRAE, Curitiba*, 8(3) 417-433.
7. Boorsma. M&F. Chiaravallot (2013). Arts Marketing Performance: An Artistic-Mission-Led Approach to Evaluation. *The Journal Of Art Management, Law, And Society*, 40, 297–317
8. Cheng. C.C.J., H.T.Tsai danD. Krumwiede, 2013, How to enhance new product creativity in the online brand community?. Copyright © eContent Management Pty Ltd. *Innovation: Management, policy & practice* (2013) **15(1)**, 83–96
9. Chuang. S.H.,C. Liao &S. Lin (2013). Determinants of knowledge management with information technology support impact on firm performance. *Inf Technol Manag* (14), 217–230
10. Chung. Y.C., Y.W. Hsu, S.C Tsai, H.L. Huang dan C.H. Tsai. (2012). The Correlation Between Business Strategy, Information Technology, Organisational, Culture, Implementation of CRM, And Business Performance In A High Tech Industry. *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 23 (2), 1-1

11. Coombs, J.E. & P.E Bierly III (2006). Measuring technological capability and performance. *R&D Management*,36(4), 421-438.
12. Creswell. J.W. (2016)*Research Design Pendekatan Metode Kualitatif, Kuantitatif dan Campuran*. Edisi 4. Pustaka Pelajar. Yogyakarta.
13. Eslahnia. R. (2014). Market Orientation Strategies and Corporate Performance (Case Study: Iran Khodro Company).*European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 769-778.
14. Fang.S.R. Taichung, E. Chang, C.C. danC.H. Chou (2014). Internal market orientation, market capabilities, and learning orientation. *European Journal of Marketing*, (48)2,170-192.
15. Ferdinand. A.,(2014). *Metode Penelitian Manajemen*. Edisi 5. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
16. F. J. Cossío S,M. A. Revilla, C. & M. Vega, V., (2013),Heterogeneity of customers of personal image services:a segmentation based on value co-creation, *Int Entrep Manag J* (9),:619–630.
17. Gholami. S. dan M. Birjandi. (2016) The effect of market orientation and entrepreneurial orientation on the performance of SMEs. *Journal of Current Research In Science*, S (1),361-369.
18. Ghazali. I. dan Fuad 2014. *Structural Equation Modeling Teori, Konsep dan Aplikasi dengan ProgramLisrel Lisrel 9.10*. Edisi 4. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
19. Gunarathne. D. U. (2016) Revisited: Applicability of Market Orientation Models in Sri Lanka. *FIIB Business Review*, 5, Issue 4.
20. Guo. C. dan Y.Wang. (2015).How manufacturer market orientation influences B2B customer satisfaction and retention: an empirical investigation of the three market orientation components. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 30(2) 182–193.
21. Haryanto, H., & Khoiruddin, M. (2021). Implementation of Teachers ' Human Resources Management to Improve the Quality of Education at Madrassa in Jepara , Indonesia *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education Research Article. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(11), 7112–7117.
22. Haryanto, H., Purwanto, P., & Giyoto, G. (2021). Exploring Research-Based Learning Management of Madrasah (A Case Study at a State Madrasah Aliyah Kudus Indonesia). *Psychology and Education*, 58(2), 7287–7296.
<http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/3307>
23. Mohammed, A. A.,Mousa, A. S., & Mohammed, A. A. (2012), The Relationship Between Innovation and Marketing Performance in Business Organizations: An Empirical Study on Industrial Organizations in the industrial city of King Abdullah II. *International Business and Management*, 5(2), 76-84.
24. Ngamsutti. S. danP. Ussahawanitchakit (2016). Marketing innovation capability and marketing performance: an empirical study of electrical and electronic appliances in Thailand. *The Business and Management Review*,7(5)
25. Nuryakin dan B. B. Retnawati (2016). Using Technological RelationalCapabilities to Investigate Marketing Performance In International Wooden Market. *Information*, 19(7).2555-2563.

26. Parasuraman, A., Berry, L.L. dan Zeithaml, V.A. (1988), "SERVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for measuring customer perceptions of service quality", *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1) 12-40.
27. Pena, M., E.M. Silva, E. M. S. D., Tronchin, D. M. R., dan M.M. Melleiro, (2013). The use of the quality model of Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry in health services. *Rev Esc Enferm*
28. Ratnaningsih A, dan S. Wiguna (2010). Indonesia banking industry competitive: effort for achieving competitive advantage. *Indonesia Econ Bus J* 10(5):98-107.
29. Rubera G., A. Ordanini dan D. A. Griffith (2011), Incorporating cultural values for understanding the influence of perceived product creativity on the intention to buy: An examination in Italy and the US. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 1(42), 459-476.
30. Schwepker Jr. C. H dan N. Ingram (2016), Ethical leadership in the sales force: effects on salesperson customer orientation, commitment to customer value and job stress. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 31(7), 914-927.
31. Shu, Y. T., 2011. An Investigative Study on Service Quality of Public Kindergartens after School in New Taipei City. A thesis of the Department of Early Childhood and Family Education, National Taipei University of Education, (1), 186
32. Suryaningsih E dan J. Abdul, (2010). The indicator of competitive advantage for banking industries. *Int J Eco Manag* 23(10):5-9
33. Suwandari. L., Y. Suryana., dan Y. Wirasmita. 2017. Marketing Performance Of Batik Tulis Based Of Entrepreneurship Orientation In Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta SME's.. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 16(3).
34. Szu, Y., S., & Li, S., C., (2016), Achieving Value Co-creation in IT Outsourcing, © International Information Management Association, Inc. ISSN: 1543-5962
35. Tjiptono F., G. Chandra dan D. Andriana, (2008). *Pemasaran Strategik*. Penerbit Andi. Yogyakarta.
36. Wilson G. A., J. Perepelkin, D. D. Zhang, & M. A. Vachon (2016)., Market Orientation, Alliance, and Business Performance In The Biotechnology Industry. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, 20(2), 32-40.
37. Yang X., G. Cai, Y. J. Chen dan S. J. S. Yang (2017), Competitive Retailer Strategies for New Market Research, Entry, and Positioning Decisions. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(2), 172-186
38. Yeh. Y.P dan Y. Du. (2015), Market orientation and service innovation on customer perceived value: the case of supermarket retailers. *Management Research Review*, 39(4), 449-467-269.
39. Yen, H, (2016), A value co-creation strategy model for improving product development performance, *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 31(5), 695-715
40. Zhong. H. (2013), Organizational Slack, and the Marketing Performance of Information Technology Firms. *International Journal of Innovation, Management, and Technology*, 4(2).